PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1225 B 131/A	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. International fili		te (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/CH2004/000176	23.03.200	4	22.04.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC						
Applicant						
MAETZKE, Thomas						
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	8	sheets, including	this cover sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:					
a. (sent to the applicant and	l to the International Bu	reau) a total of 3	sheets, as follows:			
sheets of the descri	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental						
Box.	Down and the state of the	indicate type and mymber	of electronic corrier(e))			
b. (sent to the International	Bureau oniv) a total of (indicate type and number	of electronic carrier(s)			
	dable form only on		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables nental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see			
Section 802 of the Adminis		indicated in the Supplen	nental Box Relating to Sequence Disting (see			
4. This report contains indications relat	ing to the following item	s:				
Box No. I Basis of the	report					
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establ	ishment of opinion with	regard to novelty, inventiv	ve step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of un	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	De la contra del contra de la contra del l					
Box No. VI Certain do	Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain def	Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report						
			•			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer				
Facility No.		Telephone No				

Translation

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/CH2004/000176

Box	No. I	Basis of the report				
I.		d to the language, this report is based on the internation under this item.	al application in the language in w	hich it was filed. unless otherwise		
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))				
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)				
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	r 55.3)			
2.	With regar receiving (this report)	d to the elements of the international application, this r Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are):	eport is based on (replacement sh referred to in this report as "ori	eets which have been furnished to the ginally filed" and are not annexed to		
	the ir	nternational application as originally filed/furnished				
	the d	escription:				
	page	s <u>1-17</u>		as originally filed/furnished		
	page	s*	received by this Authority on _			
	page	s*	received by this Authority on _			
	the cl	laims:				
	nos.			as originally filed/furnished		
	nos.*		as amended (together	with any statement) under Article 19		
	nos.*			02.02.2005 with letter		
	nos.*					
	the di	rawings:				
	sheet	- 4 4-		as originally filed/furnished		
	sheet					
	sheet					
		uence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemen				
			ital box Relating to Sequence Lis	ung.		
3.	The a	nmendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		•		
		the description, pages				
		the claims, nos.				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
	닏	the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
4.	This they	report has been established as if (some of) the amendm have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file	nents annexed to this report and li d, as indicated in the Supplementa	isted below had not been made, since al Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		the description, pages				
	\boxtimes	the claims. nos. 1	a y Lon Back Mills			
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):				
#	If item 4 ap	oplies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "super	seded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000176

3ox	No. V			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
	Statement				
	Novelty	y (N)	Claims	1-13	_ YE
					_ NC
	Inventive step (IS)		Claims		_ YE
			Claims	1-13	_ NO
	Industri	ial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	_ YI
			Claims		_ NO
	Citations a	nd explanations (Rule	70.7)		
	Refer	ence is ma	de to	the following documents:	
	D1:	EP-A-1 23	9 277	(INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG) 11	
		September	2002	(2002-09-11)	
	D2:	US-A-4 92	9 929	(ROMER EIKE) 29 May 1990 (1990-05-	
		29).			
	1)	The prese	nt app	olication does not satisfy the	
		requireme	nts of	f PCT Article 33(1) since, <u>insofar</u>	
		as it is	unders	stood correctly (see Box VIII	
		<pre>below) , t</pre>	he sub	oject matter of claims 1-13 does not	
		involve a	n inve	entive step within the meaning of	
		PCT Artic	le 33	(3).	
	2)	Independe	nt cla	aim 1	
	2.1)	Document	D1 dis	scloses (see figures 1-2 and the	
		correspon	ding p	passages) a safety device (see	
		column 5,	line	4) for laboratory use, comprising a	
		measureme	nt sys	stem (la), which is connected to a	
		liquid co	ntaine	er (21) and a laboratory work	
		surface (20) ar	nd triggers an alarm signal when it	
		detects s	pilled	d liquid on the laboratory work	

PCT/CH2004/000176

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

surface (see column 5, lines 4-17).

- 2.2) The device according to claim 1 of the present application therefore differs from the device known from document D1 only in that the measurement system is a fill level measurement system which also raises an alarm when it detects a defined liquid level in the fluid container.
- 2.3) The problem to be solved by the present invention is therefore understood to be that of creating a safety device with which an alarm can be raised, depending on the quantity of spilled liquid.
- 2.4) The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered inventive, for the following reasons:

The above features were already used for the same purpose with a similar safety device (see document **D2**, in particular column 1, line 44, to column 2, line 33, and column 4, lines 24-54). To a person skilled in the art it is therefore obvious to also use these features to corresponding effect with a safety device according to document **D1** and in this way to arrive at a safety device according to claim 1.

- 3) Dependent claims 2-13
- 3.1) Dependent claims 2-13 contain no features which, combined with the features of any claim to which

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000176

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement they refer, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step. The reasons are as follows: The additional features of claims 2 and 4-10 are 3.2) already known from document D1 and D2: claim 2: see document D2, figures 1-2; claims 4-5: see document D2, figure 1, and column 5, lines 20-23; claim 6: see document D2, figure 1, the drum adapter (48); claims 7-8: see document D1, figure 1, and column 5, lines 11-17, and document D2, column 3, lines 22-28; claim 9: see document D2, column 2, lines 12-17; claim 10: see document D2, column 2, lines 6-22. The additional features of claims 3 and 11-13 are 3.3) routine features a person skilled in the art would use according to the circumstances.

International application No.

PCT/CH2004/000176

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- 1) For the following reasons the claims do not satisfy the clarity requirements of PCT Article 6.
- Claim 1 attempts to define the invention in terms of features relating to the use of the subject matter. The claim does not define the subject matter itself but its relationship to further subjects, that is to say, the "connection" between the safety device and "a laboratory work surface" and a "liquid container". However, at least the laboratory work surface does not appear to be part of the safety device (see the PCT Guidelines, chapter III-4.8(a)) and the nature of the specified "connections" was not defined in the claim.
- The feature in **claim 1** "(...) if it detects liquid spilled on to the at least one laboratory work surface" is not clear since a person skilled in the art does not know which liquid is referred to: liquid spilled from the liquid container defined earlier (which would be inconsistent with the description) or a further liquid, which "spills" out of an undefined "element"?

In addition, it is not clear whether the liquid in the liquid container is the same one as the "spilled liquid" or a further, different liquid.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000176

Вох №. УП1	Certain observations on the international application
4)	The features in claims 11-12, "which cuts"
	relate to a method for the use of the device and
	not the definition of the device in terms of its
	technical features. Therefore, contrary to PCT
	Article 6, the intended restrictions are not clear
	from the claim.
:	
<u> </u>	

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000176

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

Box I.4

DOX 1.9

1) The amendments submitted with the letter of 10 December 2004 infroduce substantive matter which, contrary to PCT Article 34(2), goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed. The amendment concerned is the following, underlined feature of claim 1: "the fill level measurement device is further connected on the flow side to at least one laboratory work surface".

This feature cannot be derived *directly* and *unambiguously* from the description, for the following reasons:

- no passages supporting the amendment were found or specified in the application originally filed.
- the flow was not defined in claim 1 and hence the broad wording "on the flow side" cannot be understood by the reader.
- This report was established without taking into consideration the above amendment, since, for the specified reasons, it goes beyond the disclosure in the application as originally filed (PCT_Rule 70.2(c)).